From:	**<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>**
Sent:	Wednesday, March 20, 2019 01:47 PM
To:	**<Team 1, Member 1>**; **<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>**; **<Team 1, Member 2>**
Cc:	**Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>**; **<Team 2, Member 1>**
Subject:	RE: **<Feature 1>** Library document

HI **<Team 1, Member 1>**,

I will discuss this with you.

We already do this in **<Feature -1>**. But, maybe I am not fully understanding your idea, so will discuss face-to-face.

Thanks,
<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>

From: <Team 1, Member 1>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 1:40 PM
To: <Team 2, Level 1 Manager>; **<Team 1, Member 2>**
Cc: Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>; **<Team 2, Member 1>**; **<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>**
Subject: RE: **<Feature 1>** Library document

Branching off here…

I am wondering if my misunderstanding could actually become a feature. The user-defined … **<Team 1, Member 1 elaborates on a possible feature>**

Cheers,
<Team 1, Member 1>

From:	**<Team 1, Member 2>**
Sent:	Wednesday, March 20, 2019 02:49 PM
To:	**<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>**
Cc:	**Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>**; **<Team 2, Member 1>**; **<Team 1, Member 1>**; **<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>**; **<Team 1, Member 2>**
Subject:	Re: **<Feature 1>** Library document

No problem **<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>**. Thanks for your help and consideration of our problem situation.

Regards,

<Team 1, Member 2>

From: <Team 2, Level 2 Manager>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 2:24 PM
To: <Team 1, Member 2>; **<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>**; **<Team 1, Member 1>**
Cc: Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>; **<Team 2, Member 2>**
Subject: RE: **<Feature 1>** Library document

Thanks **<Team 1, Member 2>**.

From: <Team 1, Member 2>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 12:56 PM
To: <Team 2, Level 2 Manager>; **<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>**; **<Team 1, Member 1>**
Cc: Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>; **<Team 2, Member 1>**; **<Team 1, Member 2>**
Subject: Re: **<Feature 1>** Library document

Yes, here are a few examples:

<Team 1, Member 2 elaborates on example where requested API is helpful>

--**<Team 1, Member 2>**

From: <Team 2, Level 2 Manager>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 12:41 PM
To: <Team 2, Level 1 Manager>; **<Team 1, Member 2>**; **<Team 1, Member 1>**
Cc: Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>; **<Team 2, Member 1>**
Subject: RE: **<Feature 1>** Library document

So, **<Team 2, Level 2 Manager asks clarifying question on why the requested API is required>**?

Can you please provide an example?

Regards,
<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>

From: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 11:58 AM
To: *<Team 1, Member 2>*; *<Team 1, Member 1>*
Cc: *Fayyazi, Morteza <...@mentor.com>*; *<Team 2, Member 1>*; *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>*
Subject: Re: *<Feature 1>* Library document

Yes, this is true.

Recall that all this API provides is a *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager elaborates and clears out <Team 1, Member 1>'s question>*

Regards,

-- *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*

On 3/20/19 11:48 AM, *<Team 1, Member 2>* wrote:
<team 1, Member 1>,

I believe the answer to your question is that *<Team 1, Member 2 answers <Team 1, Member 1>'s question>*.

<Team 2, Level 1 Manager> can verify if my assertion is correct or not.

Thanks, regards,

<Team 1, Member 2>

From: *<Team 1, Member 1>*
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 11:40 AM
To: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*; *<Team 2, Level 2 Manger>*; *<Team 1, Member 2>*
Cc: *<Team 1, Level 1 Manger>*; *<Team 2, Member 1>*
Subject: RE: *<Feature 1>* Library document

Adding *<Team 1, Member 2>*'s response and combining threads.

One question, I have is related to *<Team 1, Member 1 asks clarifying question>*.

Cheers,
<Team 1, Member 1>

From: *<Team 1, Member 2>*
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 11:34 AM
To: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*
Cc: *<Team 1, Level Manager>*; *<Team 1, Member 1>*; *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>*; *<Team 2, Member 1>*; *<Team 1, Member 2>*
Subject: Re: *<Feature 1>* Library document

We are utilizing this information for the *<Team 1, Member 2 elaborates on why the requested information is required>*.

Thanks, regards,

<Team 1, Member 2>

From: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 11:33 AM
To: *<Team 1, Member 1>*; *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>*; *<Team 1, Member 2>*
Cc: *Fayyazi, Morteza <...@mentor.com>*; *<Team 2, Member 2>*
Subject: Re: *<Feature 1>* Library document

On 3/20/19 11:23 AM, *<Team 1, Member 1>* wrote:
Wonder if the wrong information is in a way that they are inverted? *<Team 1, Member 1 asks clarifying question about inversion>*? If we can handle such inversion

<Team 2, Level 1 Manager answers clarifying question>.

To answer the question why this is required, it is an optimization where user can provide wild card *<Team 1, Member 1 elaborates on inversion recovery>*

Please, explain further.

One question, I have is related to *<Team 1, Member 1 asks question>*?

<Team 2, Level 1 Manger answers question>.

Cheers,
<Team 1, Member 1>

From: *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>*
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 11:09 AM
To: *<team 2, Level 1 Manger>*; *<Team 1, Member 2>*
Cc: *Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>*; *<Team 1, Member 1>*; *<Team 2, Member 1>*
Subject: RE: *<Feature 1>* Library document

Yes, the main question is how is *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager throws a problem related to the request API>*. As *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>* mentioned, *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager elaborates on inversion>*.

Regards,
<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>

From: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 10:51 AM
To: *<Team 1, Member 2>*
Cc: *Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>*; *<Team 1, Member 1>*; *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>*; *<Team 2, Member 1>*
Subject: Re: *<Feature 1>* Library document

Hi *<Team 1, Member 2>*,

Please, explain what you are trying to do with this information. This is information that is already in the *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager asks why the information is required>*.

Regards,

-- *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*

On 3/20/19 10:10 AM, *<Team 1, Member 2>* wrote:
Hi *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*,

Then functionality that you have proposed here is what will work for us. We simply would like to see the *<Team 1, Member 2 elaborates on what information is asked for>*.

Suggested APIs could be:

==*<Team 1, Member 2 provides example APIs Feature 1, Attributes 1, 2, and 3>*==

Please let us know what is possible on your end.

Many thanks and with regards,

<Team 1, Member 2>

Here, it is clear that it was *<Team 1, Member 2>* who gave the requested APIs names!!!

From: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2019 9:22 AM
To: *Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>*
Cc: *<Team 1, Member 2>*; *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>*
Subject: Re: *<Feature 1>* Library document

Hi *Morteza*,

To be clear, you want to know if a name is:

<Team 2, Level 1 Manager list the required APIs including <Team 1, Member 1> requested API about Feature 1 attributes 1,2,and 3>

Right ?

Regards,

-- *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*

On 1/16/19 5:55 PM, *Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>* wrote:
Hi Ken,

As we discussed, adding the following info to *<Feature 1 details>*:
- *<Feature 1 Attributes 1, 2, and 3>*

<Team 1, Level 1 Manager provides example>

Regards,
- *Morteza*

From: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*
Sent: Thursday, January 3, 2019 2:18 PM
To: *<Team 1, Member 2>*
Cc: *Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>*
Subject: Re*: <Feature 1>* Library document

Hi *<Team 1, Member 2>*,

Thanks for the feedback.

Regards,

-- *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*

On 1/2/19 10:19 PM, *<Team 1, Member 2>* wrote:
Hi *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*,

Thanks for the *<Feature 1>* Library API doc, below are my listed comments. If you have additional questions regarding my feedback, we can have an offline conversation as necessary.

With regards,

<Team 1, Member 2>

1. I believe the API can be simplified and made more intuitive for the end user. Subsequent items describe details.

2. When providing library functionality, one should be careful about not making any impositions on a client's application. *<Redacted text 1>*

3. I don't think that the library should enforce or assume singleton behavior for class *<Redacted text 2>*

4. I don't think there should be explicit Open () and Close () APIs, *<Redacted text 3>*

6. The *<Redacted text 4>* to derive their respective.

7. Since the API is a read API, *<Redacted text 5>*

8. Contemporary C++ library APIs utilize iterators to deploy generic interfaces to their clients. I have attached a prototype interface that demonstrates what this implies. Please feel free to use this as an example in finalizing your proposal.

On 12/12/18 11:41 AM, *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>* wrote:

Hi All,

Here is the current draft of the *<Feature 1>* Library document. Please, review. Let me know if you have any questions, comments or corrections.

Mr. Fayyazi, Morteza Channeled *<Team 1, Member 1> API request from "*Friday, January 4, 2019 02:18 PM*" on* "Thursday, January 17, 2019 9:22 AM"!!!!

Here we clearly see that *<Team 1, Member 2>* did what *<Team 1, Member 1>* did. In fact, it was suggested by *<Team 1, Member 2>* to draft an email with how modification to API would look like. *Mr. Fayyazi, Morteza* discretionary consider the very same act as violation when it was conducted by *<Team 1, Member 1>*!!!!

Regards,

-- <Team 2, Level 1 Manager>

From: *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>*
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2018 4:54 PM
To: *Vuillemin, Laurent <…@mentor.com>*; *Fayyazi, Morteza <…@mentor.com>*; *<Team 1, Member 2>*; *<Team 3, Member 1>*
Cc: *<Team 2, Level 2 Manager>*; *<Team 2, Member 2>*; *<Team 2, Member 2>*
Subject: Re: *<Feature 1>* Library document

Hi All,

Are there any comments for this document ?

Regards,

-- <Team 2, Level 1 Manager>

On 12/12/18 11:41 AM, *<Team 2, Level 1 Manager>* wrote:

Hi All,

Here is the current draft of the *<Feature 1>* Library document. Please, review. Let me know if you have any questions, comments or corrections.

Regards,

-- <Team2, Level 1 Manager>